

On the hyperinvariant subspace problem for asymptotically nonvanishing contractions

Attila Szalai

Bolyai Institute
University of Szeged

Based on a joint work with L. Kérchy.

iWOP2014
September 3-5, 2014, Belfast

\mathcal{H} complex, separable Hilbert space.

$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ bounded, linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

Non-trivial **invariant subspace** of $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$: $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}$,
 $T\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

A non-trivial invariant subspace is **hyperinvariant** if $C\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$
 for all $C \in \{T\}'$.

ISP: Does every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ have a non-trivial invariant subspace?

HSP: Does every non-scalar operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \setminus \mathbb{C}I$ have a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace?

$$\|T\| \leq 1$$

- $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$
 - T_1 is c.n.u.: $\exists \mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } T_1: T_1|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unitary
 - T_2 is unitary
 - T is absolutely continuous if T_2 is a.c.,
i.e. if the spectral measure of T_2 is a.c. with respect to Lebesgue measure

Considering (ISP) and (HSP) we can suppose that T is a.c.

$$\|T\| \leq 1$$

- $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$
 - T_1 is c.n.u.: $\nexists \mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } T_1: T_1|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unitary
 - T_2 is unitary
 - T is **absolutely continuous** if T_2 is a.c.,
i.e. if the spectral measure of T_2 is a.c. with respect to Lebesgue measure

Considering (ISP) and (HSP) we can suppose that T is a.c.

$$\|T\| \leq 1$$

- $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$
 - T_1 is c.n.u.: $\nexists \mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } T_1: T_1|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unitary
 - T_2 is unitary
 - T is **absolutely continuous** if T_2 is a.c.,
i.e. if the spectral measure of T_2 is a.c. with respect to Lebesgue measure

Considering (ISP) and (HSP) we can suppose that T is a.c.

stable subspace:

$$\mathcal{H}_0(T) = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n h\| = 0\} \in \text{Hlat } T$$

T is asymptotically non-vanishing (a.n.v.): $\mathcal{H}_0(T) \neq \mathcal{H}$.

stable subspace:

$$\mathcal{H}_0(T) = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n h\| = 0\} \in \text{Hlat } T$$

T is asymptotically non-vanishing (a.n.v.): $\mathcal{H}_0(T) \neq \mathcal{H}$.

Definition

(X, V) is a *unitary asymptote* of the contraction T , if

- i) $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ unitary,
- ii) $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ contractive, $XT = VX$,
- iii) $\forall (X', V')$ satisfying (i) and (ii), $\exists! Y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')$ contractive, $YV = V'Y$ and $X' = YX$.

Now such a pair exists, it is unique up to isomorphism,

$\|Xh\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n h\|$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, and $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ is a.c.

Definition

The measurable support of the spectral measure of V is called the *residual set* $\omega(T)$ of T .

(unique up to sets of zero Lebesgue measure)

$$\omega(T) \subset \sigma(V) \subset \sigma(T)$$

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ a.c. contraction

$\phi_T : H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), f \mapsto f(T)$

- weak-* continuous
- contractive: $\|f(T)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$
- unital algebra-homomorphism
- $\chi(T) = T$

Uniquely determined:

Sz.-Nagy–Foias functional calculus for T .

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ a.c. contraction

$\phi_T : H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), f \mapsto f(T)$

- weak-* continuous
- contractive: $\|f(T)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$
- unital algebra-homomorphism
- $\chi(T) = T$

Uniquely determined:

Sz.-Nagy–Foias functional calculus for T .

Φ_T is monotone:

$$|f(z)| \leq |g(z)| \text{ for every } z \in \mathbb{D} \Rightarrow \\ \|f(T)x\| \leq \|g(T)x\| \text{ for every } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

In notation: $f \stackrel{a}{\prec} g \Rightarrow f(T) \stackrel{a}{\prec} g(T)$.

$F = \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$ decreasing $\Rightarrow F(T) = \{f_n(T)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is also decreasing, and the set

$$\mathcal{H}_0(T, F) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{H} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n(T)x\| = 0 \right\} \in \text{Hlat } T$$

$\varphi_F(\zeta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(\zeta)|$ for a.e. $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$

Proposition

If $\varphi_F(\zeta) > 0$ a.e. then $\mathcal{H}_0(T, F) = \{0\}$.

$$N_F = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : \varphi_F(\zeta) > 0\}$$

Definition

T is *asymptotically non-vanishing* on $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$, if $\mathcal{H}_0(T, F) = \{0\}$ whenever $N_F \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Definition

The *quasianalytic spectral set* $\pi(T)$ of T is the largest Borel set where T is non-vanishing.

Theorem (L. Kérchy (2001))

$\pi(T) \subset \omega(T)$, and if $\pi(T) \neq \omega(T)$ then $\text{Hlat } T$ is non-trivial.

$$N_F = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : \varphi_F(\zeta) > 0\}$$

Definition

T is *asymptotically non-vanishing* on $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$, if $\mathcal{H}_0(T, F) = \{0\}$ whenever $N_F \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Definition

The *quasianalytic spectral set* $\pi(T)$ of T is the largest Borel set where T is non-vanishing.

Theorem (L. Kérchy (2001))

$\pi(T) \subset \omega(T)$, and if $\pi(T) \neq \omega(T)$ then $\text{Hlat } T$ is non-trivial.

$$N_F = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : \varphi_F(\zeta) > 0\}$$

Definition

T is *asymptotically non-vanishing* on $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$, if $\mathcal{H}_0(T, F) = \{0\}$ whenever $N_F \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Definition

The *quasianalytic spectral set* $\pi(T)$ of T is the largest Borel set where T is non-vanishing.

Theorem (L. Kérchy (2001))

$\pi(T) \subset \omega(T)$, and if $\pi(T) \neq \omega(T)$ then $\text{Hlat } T$ is non-trivial.

$$N_F = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : \varphi_F(\zeta) > 0\}$$

Definition

T is *asymptotically non-vanishing* on $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$, if $\mathcal{H}_0(T, F) = \{0\}$ whenever $N_F \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Definition

The *quasianalytic spectral set* $\pi(T)$ of T is the largest Borel set where T is non-vanishing.

Theorem (L. Kérchy (2001))

$\pi(T) \subset \omega(T)$, and if $\pi(T) \neq \omega(T)$ then $\text{Hlat } T$ is non-trivial.

Definition

An a.c. contraction T is called *quasianalytic* if $\pi(T) = \omega(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Quasiaffine transform: $A \prec B$, if there exists a quasiaffinity Q (i.e. an injective transformation with dense range) such that $QA = BQ$.

Quasisisimilarity: $A \sim B$, if $A \prec B$ and $B \prec A$.

Definition

An a.c. contraction T is called *quasianalytic* if $\pi(T) = \omega(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Quasiaffine transform: $A \prec B$, if there exists a quasiaffinity Q (i.e. an injective transformation with dense range) such that $QA = BQ$.

Quasisisimilarity: $A \sim B$, if $A \prec B$ and $B \prec A$.

Definition

An a.c. contraction T is called *quasianalytic* if $\pi(T) = \omega(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Quasiaffine transform: $A \prec B$, if there exists a quasiaffinity Q (i.e. an injective transformation with dense range) such that $QA = BQ$.

Quasisisimilarity: $A \sim B$, if $A \prec B$ and $B \prec A$.

Theorem (L. Kérchy)

The operators T , T_1 and T_2 below are all a.c. contractions.

- 1 The unilateral shift $S \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$ is quasianalytic with $\pi(S) = \mathbb{T}$.*
- 2 If $T \prec S$, then T is quasianalytic with $\pi(T) = \mathbb{T}$.*
- 3 If T is quasianalytic and \mathcal{M} is a non-zero invariant subspace of T , then $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is quasianalytic with $\pi(T|_{\mathcal{M}}) = \pi(T)$.*
- 4 If T is quasianalytic and f is a regular partially inner function satisfying the condition $\Omega(f) \cap \pi(T) \neq \emptyset$, then $f(T)$ is quasianalytic with $\pi(f(T)) = f(\Omega(f) \cap \pi(T))$.*
- 5 If $T_1 \sim T_2$ and T_1 is quasianalytic, then T_2 is also quasianalytic with $\pi(T_2) = \pi(T_1)$.*

T is a quasianalytic contraction $\Rightarrow T \in C_{10}$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{*n}h\| = 0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n h\| \text{ for all } 0 \neq h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ a.c. contraction, (X, V) unitary asymptote.
 T is *asymptotically cyclic*, if $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ is cyclic, that is
 $\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} V^n y = \mathcal{K}$ holds for some $y \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a contraction and $T \prec S$, then T is asymptotically cyclic and $V|(X\mathcal{H})^- \cong S$.

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ a.c. contraction, (X, V) unitary asymptote.
 T is *asymptotically cyclic*, if $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ is cyclic, that is
 $\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} V^n y = \mathcal{K}$ holds for some $y \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a contraction and $T \prec S$, then T is asymptotically cyclic and $V|(X\mathcal{H})^- \cong S$.

- $\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{H})$: the set of asymptotically cyclic, quasianalytic contractions.
- $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{H}) : \pi(T) = \mathbb{T}\}$

Theorem

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ then

- 1 $\forall \text{Lat}_s T = \mathcal{H}$,
- 2 Φ_T is an isometry,
- 3 $H^\infty(T) = \mathcal{W}(T)$, and
- 4 T is reflexive.

- $\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{H})$: the set of asymptotically cyclic, quasianalytic contractions.
- $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{H}) : \pi(T) = \mathbb{T}\}$

Theorem

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ then

- 1 $\forall \text{Lat}_s T = \mathcal{H}$,
- 2 Φ_T is an isometry,
- 3 $H^\infty(T) = \mathcal{W}(T)$, and
- 4 T is reflexive.

- $\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{H})$: the set of asymptotically cyclic, quasianalytic contractions.
- $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{H}) : \pi(T) = \mathbb{T}\}$

Theorem

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ then

- 1 $\vee \text{Lat}_s T = \mathcal{H}$,
- 2 Φ_T is an isometry,
- 3 $H^\infty(T) = \mathcal{W}(T)$, and
- 4 T is reflexive.

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a contraction such that $T \prec S$, then $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and $H^\infty(T) = \{T\}'$.

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$, then $T|_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M})$ holds for every non-zero invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of T .

Theorem (L. Kérchy, V. Totik)

For every $T_0 \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{H})$ we can find $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ so that $T_0 T_1 = T_1 T_0$; hence $\{T_0\}' = \{T_1\}'$ and so $\text{Hlat } T_0 = \text{Hlat } T_1$.

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a contraction such that $T \prec S$, then $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and $H^\infty(T) = \{T\}'$.

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$, then $T|_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M})$ holds for every non-zero invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of T .

Theorem (L. Kérchy, V. Totik)

For every $T_0 \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{H})$ we can find $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ so that $T_0 T_1 = T_1 T_0$; hence $\{T_0\}' = \{T_1\}'$ and so $\text{Hlat } T_0 = \text{Hlat } T_1$.

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a contraction such that $T \prec S$, then $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and $H^\infty(T) = \{T\}'$.

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$, then $T|_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M})$ holds for every non-zero invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of T .

Theorem (L. Kérchy, V. Totik)

For every $T_0 \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{H})$ we can find $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ so that $T_0 T_1 = T_1 T_0$; hence $\{T_0\}' = \{T_1\}'$ and so $\text{Hlat } T_0 = \text{Hlat } T_1$.

Proposition

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\{T\}' \neq H^\infty(T)$. Then, for every $C \in \{T\}' \setminus H^\infty(T)$, we have $\text{Lat } C \cap \text{Lat}_s T = \emptyset$.

Proposition

For any $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$, $\{T\}' = H^\infty(T)$ holds if and only if $\text{Hlat } T = \text{Lat } T$.

Proposition

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\{T\}' \neq H^\infty(T)$. Then, for every $C \in \{T\}' \setminus H^\infty(T)$, we have $\text{Lat } C \cap \text{Lat}_s T = \emptyset$.

Proposition

For any $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$, $\{T\}' = H^\infty(T)$ holds if and only if $\text{Hlat } T = \text{Lat } T$.

Theorem

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\{T\}' \neq H^\infty(T)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $\text{Hlat } T$ is non-trivial;
- 2 there exists $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}_s T$ such that $\vee \{C\mathcal{M} : C \in \{T\}'\} \neq \mathcal{H}$;
- 3 there exists $\mathcal{S} \subset \text{Lat}_s T$ such that $\mathcal{H} \neq \vee \mathcal{S} \in \text{Hlat } T$.

It is known that the unilateral shift S is *cellular-indecomposable*, that is the intersection of any two non-zero invariant subspaces of S is non-zero. The contraction $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ is called *quasiunitary*, if X has dense range and so it is a quasiaffinity, where (X, V) is a unitary asymptote of T .

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 T is not quasiunitary,
- 2 $T \prec S$,
- 3 T is cellular-indecomposable.

It is known that the unilateral shift S is *cellular-indecomposable*, that is the intersection of any two non-zero invariant subspaces of S is non-zero. The contraction $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ is called *quasiunitary*, if X has dense range and so it is a quasiaffinity, where (X, V) is a unitary asymptote of T .

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 T is not quasiunitary,
- 2 $T \prec S$,
- 3 T is cellular-indecomposable.

If T is not quasiunitary, then $\text{Hlat } T = \text{Lat } T$ is a rich lattice containing $\text{Lat}_s T$ and $(\ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I))^\perp$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$) because of $S^* \prec T^*$.

(HSP) in $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ can be reduced to the quasiunitary case.

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ is quasiunitary, then there exist $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \in \text{Lat}_s T$ such that $\mathcal{M}_1 \cap \mathcal{M}_2 = \{0\}$.

If T is not quasiunitary, then $\text{Hlat } T = \text{Lat } T$ is a rich lattice containing $\text{Lat}_s T$ and $(\ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I))^\perp$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$) because of $S^* \prec T^*$.

(HSP) in $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ can be reduced to the quasiunitary case.

Proposition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ is quasiunitary, then there exist $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \in \text{Lat}_s T$ such that $\mathcal{M}_1 \cap \mathcal{M}_2 = \{0\}$.



If T is not quasiunitary, then $\text{Hlat } T = \text{Lat } T$ is a rich lattice containing $\text{Lat}_s T$ and $(\ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I))^\perp$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$) because of $S^* \prec T^*$.

(HSP) in $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ can be reduced to the quasiunitary case.

Proposition



If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ is quasiunitary, then there exist $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \in \text{Lat}_s T$ such that $\mathcal{M}_1 \cap \mathcal{M}_2 = \{0\}$.

References

-  L. Kérchy and A. Szalai,
Asymptotically cyclic quasianalytic contractions,
Studia Mathematica, *accepted*.
-  B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, H. Bercovici, and L. Kérchy,
*Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space, Revised
and Enlarged Edition*,
Universitext, Springer, New York, 2010.

Thank you for your attention!

References

-  L. Kérchy and A. Szalai,
Asymptotically cyclic quasianalytic contractions,
Studia Mathematica, *accepted*.
-  B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, H. Bercovici, and L. Kérchy,
*Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space, Revised
and Enlarged Edition*,
Universitext, Springer, New York, 2010.

Thank you for your attention!